Journal of Scientific and Engineering Research, 2016, 3(4):149-156



Research Article

ISSN: 2394-2630 CODEN(USA): JSERBR

Design of Reverse Osmosis Desalination Plant in Suez City (Case Study)

Mona A Abdel-Fatah, Marwa M Elsayed, Gh A Al Bazedi

Chemical Engineering and Pilot Plant Department, National Research Center, El-Bohouth Street, Dokki, Egypt

Abstract Water shortage is an important issue facing the world today. Due to the increasing demands of fresh water in deserted and remote areas, the development of non-conventional water resources in Egypt is essential. A case study for a 3000 m³ permeates/day RO desalination plant in Ain El Sokhna-Suez, Egypt is reviewed and analyzed. According to the plant location and site characteristics, several considerations have been evaluated in the design of the RO desalination plant. The Design of the plant has been adopted using ROSA software as well as basic design equations for RO system design. Detailed economic study has been adopted to evaluate the feasibility of the plant. The cost calculations of the RO plant indicated that the main factors which affect the cost of the produced water are membrane cost and the power consumption cost, whereas the chemical treatment represents almost 10% of the total cost.

Keywords Desalination, Case Study, reverse osmosis, economic study, design.

Introduction

Fresh water shortage nowadays becomes a major problem in many coastal areas. Seawater desalination is used for providing fresh water aimed at both domestic and industrial usage. There are two main methods dominating the desalination process technologies, thermal and membrane-based process. The thermal desalination process has the advantage of using without complicated pre-treatment, nonetheless. It has a disadvantage of high energy consumption. Currently, there is a growing demand on using membrane based seawater desalination technology [1].

Choosing an appropriate seawater pretreatment system is mandatory for providing feed water with low turbidity for the reverse osmosis desalination process. Ultrafiltration membranes used to remove particles, virus, bacteria, moreover eliminating colloidal substance and they are more reliable in producing RO feed water with low fouling potential than using the conventional pre-treatment techniques even through destructive algal blooms event [2].

The process of water recovery of seawater using reverse osmosis (SWRO) desalination process ranged between 30% and 40% [3], and there is an important design parameter which determine the size and cost of SWRO desalination system. Nevertheless, the increase of water recovery possibly causes scaling inorganic substances on membrane surface and therefore SWRO systems will require abundant regular membrane cleaning and this may lead to short membrane life and membrane replacement [4-5]. Hence, increasing the water recovery of SWRO process while evading membrane scaling has become a significant goal. Shammiri and Dawas [6] found that when reducing the feed water pH from 7.2 to 7.0, even if no scale inhibitor has been added, the water recovery of SWRO plant has been improved from 22.5% to 34.2%, without any damage to the membrane surface due to scaling. Kurihara and coworkers [7-9] designed a brine conversion system (BCS) consists of two stages for SWRO desalination process where an 60% overall water recovery has been attained. Kim et al. [10] designed a multistage RO system for the desalination of seawater on 5 m³/h pilot plant using micro-filtration as a pre-treatment technique, the results showed that the water recovery successfully increased from 30% up to 50%.

The main issue in desalination technologies, either membrane or thermal, is the energy cost as these processes are energy intensive. In the attempt to reduce operating cost, RO systems with large scale are nowadays equipped to improve the mechanical compression energy from the discharged concentrated brine stream [11].

Pre-treatment is a main concern_to protect the membrane in the RO plant, therefore the feed water should be well pre-treated. The design of pretreatment system depends on different factors, such as the composition of seawater and physical properties, water intake, membrane materials, and the recovery ratio [12].

RO desalination cost may be divided into three main parts: direct capital cost, indirect capital cost, and annual operating cost. Direct capital costs comprise land cost, buildings, and equipment, while the construction overheads, eventuality costs and insurance are considered as an indirect capital costs. Furthermore, the annual operating costs include energy, maintenance, chemicals, expenses etc. A wide distribution of these cost items are widely reported [13].

Electricity consumption of SWRO plants ranged between 4 and 7 kWh/m³, depending on many factors as: "salinity of seawater", "recovery ratio", "permeate quality", plant outline and the usage of energy recovery system in the brine blowdown [14]. Lamei et al, (2008) estimated the "unit production cost" in Egypt compared to worldwide cost [15]. The unit production cost for different plants in Egypt, KSA and Cyprus has been also estimated.

Obaidani et al [16] studied the membrane distillation by performed energy analysis, a study and economical assessment have been done for evaluating the feasibility of direct contact membrane distillation (DCMD) process with heat retrieval. In this research water cost has been estimated to be $$1.17/m^3$, which is analogous to the cost produced by conventional thermal system, for example: $$1.40/m^3$ for MSF and $$1.00/m^3$ for MED [17]. The study also displayed that there is a high opportunity for reducing the costs incase of using a low-grade thermal energy source. In another study, it was showed that the calculated cost is competitive to the cost of water produced by RO, which is about $$0.5/m^3$ [18-19].

In the current study, RO desalination plant with a medium-capacity of 3000 m³ permeate/day has been used to supply fresh water for a community in Suez city Ain El-sokhna (case study).

Case Study Design and Operating Parameters

Design Operating Conditions

According to the plant location and site characteristics, several considerations have been evaluated to design a 3000 m^3 /day RO desalination plant. Design basis and operating conditions are mentioned in Table1. Applying ROSA software as well as basic design equations for RO system design.

	Table 1: 1	Design	basis and	Operating	Conditions of	of the RO	desalination system
--	------------	--------	-----------	-----------	---------------	-----------	---------------------

	,
Operating Pressure	15.3 bar
Raw water temperature	30 °C
Raw water TDS	7000 ppm
Product water line pressure	1 bar
Recovery ratio	65%
Applied pressure for RO element	15.30 bar
Recovery ratio	60-65%
Water classification well water	SDI < 3
Feed water pH	7.6
Chemical Dosing Ratio:	
Sodium Hypochlorite	3 ppm
Anti-scalant	3 ppm
SBS (sodium bi sulfite)	9-15 ppm
Sodium Hypo chlorite for product	1.5 ppm
Caustic Soda	20 ppm
Sulfuric Acid	45 ppm

All chemicals in table are 100% concentration.

Operating Requirement

Electrical energy consumed/m³ of permeate is assumed to be 1.715 kWh for water capacity of 230 m³/hr. Chemical cleaning is required for cleaning membrane elements according to the degree of fouling and/or drop of permeate output. Cleaning chemicals which may be used as required are mentioned below:

- Citric Acid
- Hydraulic Acid, HCl
- Caustic Soda
- Sodium Sulfate
- E.D.T.A

Performance Calculation of a Reverse Osmosis Unit

The quantity of water produced by a reverse osmosis unit can be given approximately by the following formula: $D_p = A^*(P_A - P_{osm} - P_p)$ (1)

Where:

D_p product water flow rate expressed in m³/hr

A coefficient related among other things to temperature

P_A operating pressure also called applied pressure on the module expressed in bar.

P_{osm} average osmosis pressure of the solution inside the module (bar)

The average pressure is calculated taking into account the average concentration of salts in the raw water as well as of the recovery rate. P_p is the pressure in the product water line (bar). The nominal flow for the unit has been calculated on the design basis and estimated operating conditions.

Materials and Methods

Process Flow Diagram

Process flow diagram for the brackish desalination plant is indicated in Fig 1. The RO desalination plant consists of a pretreatment system, RO desalination system, a post treatment system and other facilities (such as product water storage tank, brine blow tank ...etc.)

Pretreatment System

This system is designed for the desalination of well water with a capacity of $3000 \text{ m}^3/\text{d}$. It is important to note that the system installed can protect, in a reliable way, the modules of the reverse osmosis unit which, are the most important parts as well as the most costly part in this plant. Fluctuations of feed water quality leads to a proper design of the reverse osmosis unit as well as the pretreatment have to be correctly designed. In such a case the possibility of accidental fouling of modules cannot be excluded. It is essential to take proper actions, such as cleaning, disinfecting and rinsing. The lifetime of the modules depends on the daily/weekly control routines as well as upon the attention. The pretreatment system consists of:



Figure 1: A "Process Flow Diagram" of RO Desalination Plant in Suez City

Disinfection of raw water

Raw water pumped up from wells is disinfected by sodium hypochlorite (NaClO) injection, and the sterilized water is stored in a raw water storage tank. Chlorine disinfection is used as it deactivates most pathogenic microorganisms quickly. Chlorination is regularly used where biological-fouling anticipation is needed.

Filtration

Disinfected water is pumped to multimedia filters through multimedia filter feed pumps; to reduce the SDI (silt density index) value of the feed water stream, normally coagulant is added to the stream of raw water and effectively mixed. The process of coagulant rapid dispersion and mixing is tremendously important, so that in line static mixer has been associated for that reason. The formed micro flocs are immediately removed using media filtration. In line filtration can be used for the raw water stream with a SDI only slightly above 5.

Multimedia filters

By means of a well-designed and operated multimedia filter, SDI of less than 5 can normally be attained. The most common filter media in water treatment are sand and anthracite. For pressure filtration the filter vessels have been designed for pressurization; a higher-pressure drop can be used to a higher filter bed and/or higher filtration rates and/or smaller filter grains. During service, water to be filtered usually pass in the filter upper side, percolates over the filter bed, and it strained of through the collector system at the bottom. Occasionally, when the differential pressure between the inlet and outlet rinsed to overcome the deposited matter. Scale formation may cause the permeate flow rate to decrease, and it may cause the concentrate pressure to decrease. Fouling or scale formation can usually be prevented with the proper RO pretreatment.

pH Adjustment Acid Dosing Set

Since the solubility of $CaCO_3$ depends on the pH, by adding acid, the equilibrium can be moved to the left side of the chemical equation in order to keep the $CaCO_3$ dissolved in solution. To control the scaling of the calcium carbonate by acid addition only, the stiff and Davis stability index in the concentrate stream must be negative.

Scale Inhibitor-dosing Unit

Scaling of the membrane can occur when the concentration of the oppositely- charged ionic components of a dissolved salt exceed their solubility. At this point, a scale inhibitor is dosed in line of the process to decrease the possibility of scale formation.

De-chlorination Dosing Unit

The rate of chlorine dose relays on different feed water features. Sodium bisulfate is most commonly known compound used for the elimination of free chlorine and as a biostatic agent as RO feed must be dechlorinated to avoid membranes' oxidation.

Five Cartridge Filter

A cartridge filter with a pore size of 5 microns is required for every RO pretreatment system. Filtered water is forced to a five-micron multimedia filter. Usually it is the last step in the pretreatment sequence. The cartridge filters are equipped with a pressure gauge and a differential pressure transmitter to specify the differential pressure drop, thus indicating the amount of its fouling. Regular check the used cartridges provides useful data regarding fouling risk and clearing necessities.

RO Desalination System

The RO desalination system is designed using ROSA software. Thin film composite spiral wound membranes configuration is utilized in the RO unit. Dow Filmtec Type BW30-400, is selected as his membrane has lower replacement cost, simplicity in plumbing system, and easy maintenance. The elements are housed in FRP construction pressure vessels rated at a design pressure of 450 PSI.

An LPT 500 turbo charger is used to provide a boost pressure to a second stage permeate. The feed flows from the high pressure pump at a rate of 230.75 m³/hr at 14.04 bars to the first stage RO module. 1st stage permeate flow at 93.91 m³/hr and brine of 136.84 m³/hr at 12.79 bar is forced by the turbocharged to the 2nd stage module at pressure of 17.45 bar producing 56.06 m³/hr permeate and a brine of 80.78 m³/hr at a pressure of 16.40 bar, then flowing to the turbine side of the final brine blow down of 80.78 m³/hr will be discharged at 0.35 bar.

The first array pressure vessels are 20 and the second array vessels are 10, where 6 elements are included in each pressure vessel. About 65% of the feed water permeates through RO element and become product water. Total dissolved solids (TDS) of product water are less than 100 ppm. Concentrated water from RO unit is rejected to a 50 m³- brine tank.

Optional Post Treatment

Sodium hydroxide is injected to adjust the pH of the permeate water from the reverse osmosis to the set pH value. Chlorine has been used also for the disinfections of portable water where a remaining chlorine concentration around 0.5 mg/L is required. An optional degasified tower is provided to reduce the carbon dioxide in the product water, which was developed during the addition of the acid in the feed water. The degasified raises the product water pH thereby reducing the post pH adjusting chemical consumption. The use of the degasified also prevents any increase in the product TDS due to injection of more pH adjustment chemicals.



Results and Discussion

Design Calculation

By using ROSA software to design the desalination system, the following design calculations have been performed.

O element = $40 \text{ m}^3/\text{day}$

Recovery (assumed in ROSA trial) = 60%

- Q permeate = $3000 \text{ m}^3/\text{day}$ (required to achieve)
- Q feed (Calculated) = $5000 \text{ m}^3/\text{day}$

 $\therefore Number of elements = \frac{5000}{40} = 125 element$ For safety reasons

For safety reasons we decided that only 6 elements in each vessel

$$\therefore Number of vessels = \frac{125}{6} = 20.33333 \approx 21 vessel$$

$$Flux(permeate) = \frac{3000}{37 * 125} = 0.648648$$

Pumps Vapor pressure at operating temperature = 0 kg/ cm^2 a = bar Normal flow rate = $5000 \text{ m}^3/\text{day} = 208.3 \text{ m}^3/\text{h}$ Rated flow = Normal flow x k $= 208.3 \text{ x} 1.1 = 229.13 \text{ m}^3/\text{h}$ Where: k; Safety factor = 1.1Operating pressure = 4.5kg/ cm²a Static suction head = 0 mSuction line friction loss (ΔP_f) $\Delta P_f = 1.5 \text{kg/cm}^2 \text{a}$ Pump suction pressure = Operating pressure + Static head - Suction line friction loss $= 4.5 + 0 - 1.5 = 3 \text{ kg/ cm}^2 \text{a}$ NPSHA = (Operating pressure – Vapor pressure) + $(H_2 - H_1)_{suc} - (\Delta P_f)_{suc}$ $= (4.5 - 0) + (0) - 1.5 = 3 \text{ kg/cm}^2$ $=\frac{3\frac{kg}{cm\ 2}x\ 10}{sm\ ar}=\frac{3x\ 10}{1}=30\ m$ NPSHR < NPSHA $P < P_v$ Pressure at delivery = 18.5 kg/ cm^2 a Static discharge head = $2m = (2*1)/10 = 0.2 \text{ kg/ cm}^2$ Discharge line friction loss = 0.1 kg/ cm^2 Filter pressure drop = 0Furnace pressure drop = 0Flow element pressure drop = 0Misc. devices pressure drop = 0Control valve pressure drop = 0Pump discharge pressure = 18.5 + 0.2 + 0.1 + 0 + 0 + 0 + 0 = 18.8Pump differential pressure = pump discharge pressure - pump suction pressure =(18.8-3)=15.8 kg/cm² Pump differential head = (15.8*10) / 1 = 158 mMax suction pressure = HHLL in suction tank + Design pressure (set of PSV) HHLL = 40 mHHLL in suction tank $= (40*1)/10 = 4 \text{ kg/cm}^2 a$ $= 4 + 0 = 4 \text{ kg/cm}^2 a$ Max suction pressure Max Differential pressure = Safety factor * Pump differential pressure Take 20% overdesign (Safety) Max Differential pressure $=1.2 \times 15.8 = 18.96 \text{ kg/cm}^2$ Max discharge pressure = Max suction pressure + Max differential pressure Max discharge pressure = 4 + 18.96 $= 22.96 \text{ kg/ cm}^2 \text{a}$



Pressure Exchanger (PX) - Cost saved:

Concentrate pressure = 18.45	x1.1 = 20.3 bar
Efficiency of "PX "	= 96%
Permeate pressure after PX	= 19.5 bar
Booster pump pressure $= 3$ bar	to rise the pressure to 22 bar
Feed water to "PX flow rate" = 509	$\frac{1}{6} * 5000 = 2500 \text{ m}^3 / \text{day}$
For high pressure pump P _{fluid}	$= \rho g Q h_p$
	= 1010*9.81*0.06*204
	= 121275 watt = 121.28 K watt
K watt/h	= \$ 0.0416
Cost/day	= 121.28*0.0416*24
·	= \$ 121.1
Cost/year	= \$ 44201.5
PX saved	= \$44201.5 / 2 (for two streams)
	= \$ 22100.75

Economic Study

In order to assess precisely the designed RO system, an economic analysis is vital. The study has been done for a (3000 m^3/day). In addition to the capital cost, the major factors that influence the cost have found to be the power consumption, running and maintenance costs are also evaluated as stated in tables 2-4.

Calculations Methodology

For reverse osmosis plant, the calculations are as follows.

1.	Amortization factor:	$a = i^* (1+i)^n / (1+i)^n - 1$
	(n): plant life, (i): interest rate.	
2.	Annual fixed charges:	(a)*(DC)
3.	Annual electric power:	(c)(w)(f)(m)(365)
4.	Annual chemical cost:	(k)(f)(m)(365)
5.	Annual labor cost:	(l)(m)(365)
6.	Annual membrane replacement cos	st: (0.33) (membrane cost)
7.	Total annual cost:	2+3+4+5+6
8.	Unit product cost:	(total annual cost)/(f)(m)(365)
9.	Unit product cost:	(total annual cost)/(m).

Where:

Direct capital cost:		DC	(\$)
Plant capacity:		m	(m^3/day)
Electric cost:		с	(\$/kWh)
Specific electric power:		W	(kWh/m^3)
Operating labor:	1	$(\$/m^3)$	
Chemicals cost:	k	$(\$/m^3)$	

Table 2. Detailed I failt Cost for 5000 III /

Equipment	Size	No.	Unit cost LE	Total cost LE
Feed tank	3000 m^3	2	408320	816640
Permeate tank	3000 m^3	1	408320	408320
High pressure pump	208.3 m ³ /hr	2	30000	60000
Feed pump	208.3 m ³ /hr	2	15000	30000
Dosing pump		10	800	8000
Sand filter		3	16333.3	48999.9
Mixing station		1	1850	1850
Cartridge housing + cartridges		1	7000	7000
Sand filter packing		3	1333.3	3999.9
Membrane unit		1	150000	150000
PX device		1		23022.15
Total equipment cost				1557832.13



Table 3: Chemicals Cost for 3000 m ³ /d						
Chemicals	kg / Week	Unit	Cost \$	Total Cost \$	L/week	
H_2SO_4	1452.25 * 7 =10165.7	\$1.3/	kg	13449.25	5524.85	
Sodium hypochlorite 12%	180 * 7 = 1260	\$1.25	/Kg	1575	1135	
Sod. Meta bisulphate 30%	159.5 * 7 = 1116.6	\$3.3/	kg	1224.3	1008	
Anti-scalant (Vitec 3000)	14.4 * 7 = 100.8	\$4.14	/kg	416.99	80.64	
CIP (Roclean L403)	500Kg/year	\$2/kg	-	1000		
Sod. Hydroxide	28.8 * 7 = 201.6	7.5		1512	140	
Chemicals Storage	Size	No.	Unit Cost \$	Total Cost \$	Material	
Acid storage tank	5.3 m^3	1	11200	11200	CS, glass lined	
Sod. hypochlorite Tank	0.420 m^3	1	3100	3100	Fiber glass	
Sod. Meta bisulphite tank	1.015 m^3	1	6100	4900	st.st. 316	
Antiscalant tank	0.085m^3	1	1500	1500	st.st.316	
Antiscalant drum	55 gal	1	39	39	Fiber drum	
CIP tank	6.25 m^3	1	17300	17300	st.st. 316	
CIP drum	40 gal	1	32.8	32.8	Fiber drum	
CIP drum	40 gal	1	32.8	32.8	Fiber drum	
Sod. hvdroxide tank	0.15m^3	1	3000	3000	st.st 316	

Table 4: Cost analysis for a plant capacity $3000 \text{ m}^3/\text{d}$				
Equipment cost	Cost			
Piping	42367.96			
Electrical	6052.57			
Building	9078.85			
Utilities	30262.8			
Site development	3026.28			
Ancillary buildings	9078.85			
Total	99867.32			
PPC	160392.97			
Design and engineering	48117.89			
Contractor's fee	8019.65			
Contingency	16039.3			
Total	72176.8			
Fixed capital investment (FCI)	232569.78			
Total capital investment (TCI)	290712.25			
Direct production cost				
Variable				
Raw material	866658			
Miscellaneous	23256.98			
Utilities	215398.7			
Fixed				
Labor	90000			
Supervision	18000			
Plant overhead	45000			
Deprecation	34885.47			
Interest	4651.4			
Insurance	2325.7			
Maintenance	11628.49			
Total direct production cost	1,311,804.69 LE			

Conclusion

A case study of a reverse osmosis desalination plant with a capacity of $3000 \text{ m}^3/\text{day}$ has been considered to overcome the water shortage in Suez City, Egypt. The design of the plant consists of a proper pretreatment section includes multimedia filtration system as well as cartilage filter, chemical dosing set, antiscalent and feed water disinfection. The membrane unit comprises of 2 stage array, the first array pressure vessels are 20 and the second array vessels are 10, where 6 elements are included in each pressure vessel. The cost investigation of the



RO plant discloses that the major factors affecting the product fresh water cost are the power consumption cost, membrane cost, whereas the chemical treatment signifies almost 10% of the total cost.

References

- [1]. Baowei Su, TongWu, Zhechao Li, Xin Cong, Xueli Gao, Congjie Gao. (2015). Pilot study of seawater nanofiltration softening technology based on integrated membrane system, Desalination 368, 193–201.
- [2]. L.O. Villacorte, S.A.A. Tabatabai, D.M. Anderson, G.L. Amy, J.C. Schippers, M.D. Kennedy. (2015). Seawater reverse osmosis desalination and (harmful) algal blooms, Desalination 360, 61–80.
- [3]. A. Hashim, M. Hajjaj. (2005). Impact of desalination plants fluid effluents on the integrity of sea water, with the Arabian Gulf in perspective, Desalination 182, 373–393.
- [4]. A.M. Helal, Hybridization a new trend in desalination, Desalination Water Treat .3(2009)120–135.
- [5]. O.A. Hamed, A. M. Hassan ,K. A. Shail ,M. A. Farooque ,Performance analysis of a trihybrid NF/RO/MSF desalination plant, Desalination Water Treat.1(2009) 215–222.
- [6]. M.A. Shammiri, M. A. Dawas, Maximum recovery from sea water reverse osmosis plants in Kuwait, Desalination 110(1997)37–48.
- [7]. M. Taniguchi, M. Kurihara, S. Kimura, Behavior of reverse osmosis plant adopting a brine conversion two-stage process and its computer simulation, J. Membr. Sci. 183(2001)249–257.
- [8]. M. Kurihara, H. Yamamura, T. Nakanishi, High recovery /high pressure membranes for brine conversion SWRO process development and its performance data, Desalination125 (1999)9–15.
- [9]. M. Kurihara, H. Yamamura, T. Nakanishi, S. Jinno, Operation and reliability of very high-recovery seawater desalination technologies by brine conversion two-stage RO desalination system, Desalination 138 (2001)191–199.
- [10]. S.H. Kim, S. H Lee, J. S. Yoon, S. Y. Moon, C. H. Yoon, Pilot plant demonstration of energy reduction for RO seawater desalination through a recovery increase, Desalination 203 (2007)153–159.
- [11]. Akili D. Khawajia, Ibrahim K. Kutubkhanaha, Jong-Mihn Wieb, "Advances in seawater desalination technologies", Desalination Volume 221, Issues 1–3, (2008) 47–69
- [12]. R.L. Stover, 2008. Energy Recovery Devices in Desalination Applications, Proceedings of the International Water Association's 2008 North American Membrane Research Conference, University of Massachusetts, Amherst, Massachusetts.
- [13]. El Ghonemy A. M., Water desalination systems powered by renewable energy sources: Review, Renewable and sustainable energy review 16, 1537-1556, 2012.
- [14]. ESCWA Water Development Report 3, Role of Desalination in Addressing Water Scarcity, E/ESCWA/SDPD/2009/4.
- [15]. Lamei A., Van Der Zaag P., Von Munch E. Basic cost equations to estimate unit production costs for RO desalination and long-distance piping to supply water to tourism-dominated arid coastal regions of Egypt, Desalination, 225, 1–12, 2008.
- [16]. S. Al-Obaidani, E. Curcio, F. Macedonio, G. Di Profio, H. Al-Hinai, and E. Drioli, Potential of membrane distillation in seawater desalination: Thermal efficiency, sensitivity study and cost estimation. Journal of Membrane Science, 2008. 323(1) 85-98.
- [17]. B. Van der Bruggen, Desalination by distillation and by reverse osmosis trends towards the future. Membrane Technology, 2003(2) 6-9.
- [18]. C. Fritzmann, J. Löwenberg, T. Wintgens, and T. Melin, State-of-the-art of reverse osmosis desalination. Desalination, 2007. 216(1-3)1-76.
- [19]. Mona A. Abdel-Fatah*, Ayman El-Gendi, Fatma Ashour; Performance Evaluation and Design of RO Desalination Plant: Case Study, Journal of Geoscience and Environment Protection, 4, 53-63, 2016. http://dx.doi.org/10.4236/gep.2016.42007